

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 05-7071**

**September Term, 2005**

FILED ON: MARCH 20, 2006<sup>[957287]</sup>

TALLEY R. HOLMES, JR.,  
APPELLANT

v.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, ET AL.,  
APPELLEES

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Columbia  
(No. 04cv00124)

---

Before: HENDERSON and GARLAND, *Circuit Judges*, and EDWARDS, *Senior Circuit Judge*.

## **J U D G M E N T**

This appeal from a judgment of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia was considered on the record and on the briefs of counsel. *See* D.C. Cir. R. 34(j). The Court has accorded the issues full consideration and has determined that they do not warrant a published opinion. *See* D.C. Cir. R. 36(b). It is

**ORDERED** and **ADJUDGED** that the district court's dismissal of the complaint is affirmed for the reasons stated in the district court's memorandum opinion of March 30, 2005.

The clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after the disposition of any timely petition for rehearing. *See* D.C. Cir. R. 41(a)(1).

*Per Curiam*

**FOR THE COURT:**  
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

Michael C. McGrail  
Deputy Clerk