

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 05-7146

September Term, 2005

05cv01972

Filed On: February 6, 2006 [947551]

Mark A. Jackson,
Appellant

v.

J.E. Wingfield and Associates, P.C.,
Appellee

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Ginsburg, Chief Judge, and Sentelle and Tatel, Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by the appellant. It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's judgment entered October 5, 2005, be affirmed. The district court correctly determined appellant's legal malpractice claim is barred by the District of Columbia's three-year statute of limitations. *See* D.C. Code § 12-301(8); *Wagner v. Sellinger*, 847 A.2d 1151, 1154 (D.C. 2004). On appeal, appellant has offered no argument that the suit is timely or any basis for tolling the limitations period.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam