## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 04-7198

September Term, 2004

04cv01756

Filed On: April 27, 2005 [891137]

Kenneth Daniel Jules, Appellant

V.

Warner Books,
Appellee

**BEFORE**: Ginsburg, Chief Judge, and Garland and Roberts, Circuit Judges

## <u>JUDGMENT</u>

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order, filed October 13, 2004, be affirmed. The district court properly dismissed the complaint <u>sua sponte</u> under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), because the allegations lack an arguable basis either in law or fact. <u>See Nietzke v. Williams</u>, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); <u>Crisafi v. Holland</u>, 655 F.2d 1305 (D.C. Cir. 1981).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

## Per Curiam