## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 04-7087

September Term, 2004

03cv01383

Filed On: December 1, 2004 [862747]

Debra Lynn Schoen Mason, Appellant

V.

The City of Granger, Williamson County, Texas, et al.,

**Appellees** 

## APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Sentelle, Henderson, and Tatel, Circuit Judges

## JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). Upon consideration of the foregoing and the motion for appointment of counsel. it is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the case be remanded for reconsideration in light of appellant's assertion in her motion for reconsideration that, without a transfer, her action is time-barred. <u>See, e.g., Sinclair v. Kleindienst,</u> 711 F.2d 291, 294 (D.C. Cir. 1983) ("Transfer is particularly appropriate where, as here, without a transfer the cause of action would be barred by the running of the applicable statute of limitations.") (citing <u>Burnett v. New York Central Railroad Co.</u>, 380 U.S. 424, 430 (1965)); <u>see also Ciralsky v. CIA</u>, 355 F.3d 661, 674 (D.C. Cir. 2004). It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the motion for appointment of counsel be dismissed as moot.

## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 04-7087

September Term, 2004

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam