

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 04-5249

September Term, 2004

04cv01015

Filed On: December 7, 2004 [863743]

Curtis Jordan,
Appellant

v.

William K. Suter, individually and as Supreme Court
Clerk, et al.,
Appellees

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Ginsburg, Chief Judge, and Edwards and Randolph,
Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed June 22, 2004 be affirmed. The lower courts have no supervisory authority over the Supreme Court or its employees. See, e.g., Marin v. Suter, 956 F.2d 339, 340 (D.C. Cir. 1980) (per curiam). Moreover, appellant's damages claims against the Supreme Court defendants are barred by immunity. See Sindram v. Suda, 986 F.2d 1459, 1460-61 (D.C. Cir. 1993) (per curiam). Finally, his damages claims against the Library of Congress are barred by sovereign immunity. See Clark v. Library of Congress, 750 F.2d 89, 103 n.31 (D.C. Cir. 1984); 28 U.S.C. § 2680(b).

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 04-5249

September Term, 2004

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam