

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 04-7076

September Term, 2004

04cv00689

Filed On: November 29, 2004

[862288]

Gerald V. Reddick,
Appellant

v.

Odie Washington and Joseph Hennenberry,
Appellees

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Ginsburg, Chief Judge, and Sentelle and Henderson,
Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed April 28, 2004 be affirmed. The court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing appellant's action without prejudice for noncompliance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). See, e.g., Salahuddin v. Cuomo, 861 F.2d 40, 42-43 (2d Cir. 1988).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam