

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 03-5014

September Term, 2002

02cv02198

Filed On: August 28, 2003 [769048]

Ray Hill, *a/k/a* Reginald I. Bailey,
Appellant

v.

United States of America, et al.,
Appellees

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Ginsburg, Chief Judge, and Henderson and Garland,
Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by the appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed November 7, 2002, be affirmed. The district court lacked jurisdiction over appellant's challenges to his Texas convictions, see 28 U.S.C. § 2254, and it properly dismissed appellant's claim for damages pursuant to Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477 (1994).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam