## **United States Court of Appeals**

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 03-5011

September Term, 2002

Filed On: June 3, 2003 [752477]

Danny Davis, Jr., Appellant

V.

Bureau of Prisons, et al., Appellees

## APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Ginsburg, Chief Judge, and Edwards and Sentelle, Circuit Judges

## **JUDGMENT**

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the judgment of the district court be affirmed. The district court correctly determined that appellant's Privacy Act claim was merely an attempt to challenge his sentence, and therefore should have been made via a 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion in the sentencing court. See 28 U.S.C. § 2255.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

## Per Curiam