

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 02-5359

September Term, 2002

02cv02108

Filed On: April 2, 2003 [741606]

Daniel Tilli,
Appellant

v.

William Rehnquist, Chief Justice, et al.,
Appellees

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Ginsburg, Chief Judge, and Henderson and Randolph, Circuit
Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the appellant's brief. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court judgment issued October 28, 2002, be affirmed. To the extent appellant seeks damages, appellees are immune. See Stump v. Sparkman, 435 U.S. 349 (1978). Moreover, the district court and this court lack jurisdiction to review decisions of the United States Supreme Court. See Marin v. Suter, 956 F.2d 339, 340 (D.C. Cir. 1992) (per curiam).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam