United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 01-5266

September Term, 2001

Filed On: July 2, 2002 [686808]

Donald P. Joyce, Appellant

٧.

Federal Bureau of Investigation, et al., Appellees

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Edwards, Randolph, and Garland, Circuit Judges

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the briefs filed by the parties. The court has determined that the issues presented occasion no need for an opinion. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 36; D.C. Cir. Rule 36(b). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's judgment be affirmed. Appellant challenges the district court's dismissal of a number of constitutional and statutory claims related to appellant's continued imprisonment. To the extent appellant seeks his release from prison, his claims are cognizable only by way of a petition for writ of habeas corpus. See Edwards v. Balisok, 520 U.S. 641 (1997); Preiser v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 475 (1973). To the extent appellant may have articulated a claim under the Privacy Act independent of his challenge to his detention, the records appellant seeks to amend are exempt from the provisions of the Privacy Act. See Doe v. F.B.I., 936 F.2d 1346, 1351-52 (D.C. Cir. 1991).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam