United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 01-7047

September Term, 2001

Filed On: December 20, 2001 [646411]

Paul K. Anaman, Appellant

٧.

Progressive Northern Insurance Co. and Janasha T. Thomas,

Appellees

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Rogers and Tatel, Circuit Judges; Williams, Senior Circuit Judge

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the briefs filed by the parties. The court has determined that the issues presented occasion no need for an opinion. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 36; D.C. Cir. Rule 36(b). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's judgment of February 22, 2001, be affirmed. Appellant failed to raise in district court his argument that appellee Thomas' attorney made a judicial admission during opening statements, and there are no exceptional circumstances warranting the consideration of the issue for the first time on appeal. See District of Columbia v. Air Florida, Inc., 750 F.2d 1077, 1084 (D.C. Cir. 1984). The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying appellant's motion to reopen his case. See Zenith Radio Corp. v. Hazeltine Research, Inc., 401 U.S. 321, 331 (1971); Wilson v. Good Humor Corp., 757 F.2d 1293, 1300 (D.C. Cir. 1985). Appellant's remaining claims, regarding potential jury prejudice, are moot because the jury never decided appellant's case. See Mitchell v. Maynard, 80 F.3d 1433, 1447 (10th Cir. 1996) (after district court granted motion for judgment as a matter of law, claim jury prejudiced by seeing defendant in shackles moot).

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 01-7047

September Term, 2001

The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after disposition of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam