## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 00-3060

September Term, 2000 99cr00338-01

Filed On: March 5, 2001 [580001]

United States of America, Appellee

٧.

Darryl K. Harrod, *a/k/a* Darryl K. Harrod, Jr., Appellant

## APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE**: Sentelle, Henderson, and Rogers, Circuit Judges, Circuit Judges

## JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the briefs filed by the parties. The court has determined that the issues presented occasion no need for an opinion. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 36; D.C. Cir. Rule 36(b). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that appellant's convictions be affirmed. When viewed in the light most favorable to the government, <u>see United States v. Clark</u>, 184 F.3d 858, 863 (D.C. Cir. 1999), the evidence was sufficient to demonstrate that appellant "knew of, and was in a position to exercise dominion and control" over the gun and ammunition. <u>See United States v. Byfield</u>, 928 F.2d 1163, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1991)

The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after disposition of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam