

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 00-5118

September Term, 2000

99cv00787

Filed On: November 1, 2000 [554075]

Holsey Ellingburg, Jr.,
Appellant

v.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation,
Appellee

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Ginsburg, Tatel, and Garland, Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the briefs filed by the parties. The court has determined that the issues presented occasion no need for an opinion. See Fed. R. App. P. 36; D.C. Cir. Rule 36(b). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed February 7, 2000, be affirmed substantially for the reasons stated in its memorandum opinion issued the same day. The district court properly granted summary judgment in favor of the agency because it fully discharged its disclosure obligations under the Freedom of Information Act; there is therefore no genuine issue of material fact. See Founding Church of Scientology v. NSA, 610 F.2d 824, 836 (D.C. Cir.1979); see also Tao v. Freeh, 27 F.3d 635, 638 (D.C. Cir. 1994).

The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after disposition of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam