United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 99-5436

September Term, 199999cv03223

Filed On: August 30, 2000 [540181]

John Cordero,

Appellant

V.

J. Harvie Wilkinson, III, Honorable, in his Administrative Capacity as Chief Judge of the USCA for the Fourth Circuit, et al.,

Appellees

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Sentelle, Henderson, and Tatel, Circuit Judges

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, appellant's brief, and his response to the court's order to show cause. The court has determined that the issues presented occasion no need for an opinion. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 36; D.C. Cir. Rule 36(b). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's judgment filed December 7, 1999, be affirmed. Appellant's challenges to Fourth Circuit Rules 34(b) and 40(d) are inextricably intertwined with the Fourth Circuit's decision affirming his criminal conviction and sentence, and that decision is not subject to direct or collateral review in this circuit. Cf. Stanton v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals, 127 F.3d 72, 75-76 (D.C. Cir. 1997) (holding that certain challenges to local bar rules are prohibited under Rooker-Feldman doctrine, which precludes federal courts other than Supreme Court from reviewing state court decisions); Richardson v. District of Columbia Court of Appeals, 83 F.3d 1513, 1515-16 (D.C. Cir. 1996) (same).

The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after disposition of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam