

JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**In the Matter of
A Complaint of Judicial
Misconduct or Disability**

Complaint No. DC-25-90054

Before: Srinivasan, Chief Judge

ORDER

Upon consideration of the complaint herein, filed against a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, it is

ORDERED that the complaint be dismissed for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum.

The Circuit Executive is directed to send copies of this Order and accompanying Memorandum to the complainant, the subject judge, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS (2019), RULE 11(g)(2).


Sri Srinivasan, Chief Judge

Date: July 24, 2026

MEMORANDUM

The complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. For the following reasons, this misconduct complaint will be dismissed.

The complainant's misconduct complaint relates to a pro se civil suit he brought in the district court against a Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States. That suit was assigned to the subject judge and has been dismissed. The misconduct complaint is somewhat unclear, but the complainant seems to claim that the subject judge and the Justice delayed his case and denied him access to an award settlement. He states that the subject judge is "incompetent" to handle his case because he did not issue a subpoena for the Justice "to appear in person" and that he was "deliberately prolonging this civil cases of more contentious delays under violation [of] rules and laws"

The complainant requests that the "Judicial Council assist resolving this civil case by setting a date and time to appear back in civil court" with the subject judge and the Justice. He alternatively requests that "the dept of Judicial Council file with the Justice dept. under litigation of freeze all bank account of Both Judges . . . under the laws of both dept of the Court laws and the Justice dept laws."

To the extent that the complainant is challenging the decisions made in his lawsuit, the complaint is directly challenging the merits of a judicial decision. "Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official decision or procedural ruling of a judge – without more – is merits-related." JUD. CONF. RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT

AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS, RULE 4(b)(1) Commentary ¶ 12. Such an allegation does not constitute “[c]ognizable misconduct” under the Judicial-Conduct Proceedings Rules or the applicable statute and therefore will be dismissed. JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 11(c)(1)(B); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii).

In addition, the complainant’s allegations of misconduct are vague and unsupported and are therefore “lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.” JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 11(c)(1)(D); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).

Accordingly, because the complaint “is directly related to the merits of [the judge’s] decision” and “lack[s] sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred,” the complaint will be dismissed. JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULES 11(c)(1)(B) & (D); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) & (iii).¹

¹ Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(c) and Judicial-Conduct Proceedings Rule 18(a), the complainant may file a petition for review by the Judicial Council for the District of Columbia Circuit. Any petition must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive for the D.C. Circuit within 42 days of the date of the dismissal order, per Judicial-Conduct Proceedings Rule 18(b).