

JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**In the Matter of
A Complaint of Judicial
Misconduct or Disability**

Complaint No. DC-25-90006

Before: Srinivasan, Chief Judge

ORDER

Upon consideration of the complaint herein, filed against a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, it is

ORDERED that the complaint be dismissed for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum.

The Circuit Executive is directed to send copies of this Order and accompanying Memorandum to the complainant, the subject judge, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS (2019), RULE 11(g)(2).


Sri Srinivasan, Chief Judge

Date: February 13, 2026

MEMORANDUM

The complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. For the following reasons, the misconduct complaint will be dismissed.

The complainant filed a civil rights action in district court. The subject judge determined that because the complainant had previously filed a substantially similar complaint that had already been dismissed by the district court, “the Court need not entertain the same matter twice.” Accordingly, the subject judge denied without prejudice the complainant’s application to proceed in forma pauperis and dismissed without prejudice the complaint as duplicative.

The complainant has now filed a judicial misconduct complaint against the subject judge. The complaint reads in its entirety:

Facts: 1. Failure to comply law, code, court rule pursuant to Code of Judicial Conduct. For example: default judgment F.R.C.P. Rule 55 and 12. 2. Deprive litigant Right such as “Terminate Case” due to judge’s negligence, failure to implement law. Facts: 3. Failure to perform judge’s duty fairly, impartially, diligently 4. Due to repeatedly failure to comply law correctly. I am demanding judges’ to pay off the default debt immediately criminal prosecution monetary penalties: 25 times of \$59000000000000000000 U.S. Dollars. Barring Notice, Injunction Relief forever.

The complainant’s assertions of wrongdoing lack any factual support, and she has otherwise failed to provide any evidence of judicial misconduct. Because the complaint “is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct

has occurred,” it will be dismissed. JUD. CONF. RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS (2019), Rule 11(c)(1)(D); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).¹

¹ Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(c) and JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 18(a), the complainant may file a petition for review by the Judicial Council of the District of Columbia Circuit. Any petition must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive for the D.C. Circuit within 42 days after the date of the dismissal order. JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 18(b).