

JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**In the Matter of
A Complaint of Judicial
Misconduct or Disability**

Complaint No. DC-25-90015

Before: Srinivasan, Chief Judge

ORDER

Upon consideration of the complaint herein, filed against a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, it is

ORDERED that the complaint be dismissed for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum.

The Circuit Executive is directed to send copies of this Order and accompanying Memorandum to the complainant, the subject judge, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS (2019), RULE 11(g)(2).


Sri Srinivasan, Chief Judge

Date: February 27, 2026

MEMORANDUM

The complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. For the following reasons, this misconduct complaint will be dismissed.

The complainant filed suit in the district court against her ex-husband, two state judges who presided over her divorce and custody case, and her ex-husband's attorney. The subject judge dismissed the complaint without prejudice for failure to establish subject matter jurisdiction, explaining that "[f]ederal district courts generally lack jurisdiction to review or interfere with judicial decisions by state courts, and plaintiff cannot circumvent that prohibition by bringing this matter under the auspices of federal legal authority." In addition, the subject judge determined that the judicial defendants were immune from suit for actions taken while performing their judicial duties. The subject judge further concluded that venue was improper in the district court, as all the parties appear to be located outside the District of Columbia and all the events allegedly took place outside the District.

The complainant has now filed a judicial misconduct complaint against the subject judge, alleging that the judge's dismissal of the complaint was improper. The entire substance of the complaint is as follows:

[M]y [filings] were summarily dismissed without hearing, without rebuttal, and without lawful review.

Specifically, the misconduct includes but is not limited to:

- Dismissal of emergency filings involving the unlawful seizure of children without due process;
- Refusal to acknowledge verified affidavits or ecclesiastical trust jurisdiction;
- Disregard for constitutional parental rights and habeas corpus obligations;
- Dereliction of duty in addressing judicial fraud, violence, and public harm.

These actions constitute a failure to perform judicial duties fairly, impartially, and with respect to constitutional and spiritual law. The judge’s conduct demonstrates bias, dereliction, and constructive fraud.

To the extent the complainant alleges that the subject judge improperly dismissed her complaint, that allegation is a direct challenge to the merits of the judge’s dismissal order. “Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official decision or procedural ruling of a judge – without more – is merits-related.” JUD. CONF. RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS, Rule 4(b)(1) Commentary ¶ 12. Such an allegation does not constitute “[c]ognizable misconduct” under the Judicial-Conduct Proceedings Rules or the applicable statute. *Id.*

To the extent the complainant is alleging that the subject judge’s decision to dismiss the complaint itself evidences bias and impartiality, that allegation “lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.” JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 11(c)(1)(D); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii). Other than referencing the judge’s decision, the complainant has failed to provide any evidence of bias or impartiality apart from her own beliefs.

Accordingly, because the complaint is “directly related to the merits of a decision” and is “based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred,” the complaint will be dismissed. JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 11(c)(1)(B) & (D); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) & (iii).¹

¹ Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(c) and JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 18(a), the complainant may file a petition for review by the Judicial Council for the District of Columbia Circuit. Any petition must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive for the D.C. Circuit within 42 days after the date of the dismissal order. JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 18(b).