

**Judicial Council of the District of Columbia Circuit**

**In the Matter of**

**Judicial Council Complaint Nos. DC-25-90045**

**DC-25-90046**

**DC-25-90049**

**A CHARGE OF JUDICIAL  
MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY**

BEFORE: Srinivasan\*, Chief Circuit Judge; Rao, Walker, Childs, and Pan,  
Circuit Judges; Boasberg, Chief District Judge; McFadden, Friedrich, and  
Nichols\*, District Judges.

**ORDER**

By order dated October 10, 2025, Circuit Judge Henderson dismissed complaints filed against three judges of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. On October 27, 2025, the complainant filed with the Judicial Council a petition for review of the order of dismissal. Upon consideration thereof, it is

ORDERED, by the Judicial Council, that for the reasons set forth in the memorandum accompanying the Circuit Judge's order, the disposition is affirmed and the petition for review is denied pursuant to Rule 19(b)(1) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

FOR THE COUNCIL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Spencer Hallett", written in a cursive style.

Spencer Hallett  
Circuit Executive

\*Chief Circuit Judge Srinivasan and District Judge Nichols did not participate in the instant order.

Filed: December 10, 2025

**Judicial Council of the District of Columbia Circuit**

**In the Matter of**

**Judicial Council Complaint Nos. DC-25-90045**

**DC-25-90046**

**DC-25-90049**

**A CHARGE OF JUDICIAL  
MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY**

BEFORE: Srinivasan\*, Chief Circuit Judge; Rao, Walker, Childs, and Pan,  
Circuit Judges; Boasberg, Chief District Judge; McFadden, Friedrich, and  
Nichols\*, District Judges.

**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the order filed on October 10, 2025, pursuant to Rule 10(a) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial Disability Proceedings (Judicial-Conduct Rules), directing the complainant to show cause why complainant should not be precluded from filing any new judicial misconduct complaints, and the response thereto, it is

**ORDERED** that order to show cause be discharged. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that no new complaints of judicial misconduct received from the complainant shall be accepted for filing. The complainant has filed 13 complaints this year, all of which have been dismissed because the allegations were repetitive, unsupported, or related to the merits of an underlying decision. In addition, he made four submissions in 2025 that were rejected for noncompliance with the Judicial-Conduct Rules. Rule 10(a) of the Judicial-Conduct Rules provides:

A complainant who has filed repetitive, harassing, or frivolous complaints, or has otherwise abused the complaint procedure, may be restricted from filing further complaints. After giving the complainant an opportunity to show cause in writing why his or her right to field further complaints should not be limited, the judicial council may prohibit, restrict, or impose conditions on the complainant's use of the complaint procedure. Upon written request of the complainant, the judicial council may revise or withdraw any prohibition, restriction, or condition previously imposed.

Accordingly, the complainant is hereby enjoined from filing further complaints of judicial misconduct and disability, subject to the provisions of Rule 10(a). This restriction shall remain in effect until further order of the Judicial Council. The Circuit Executive is directed to return to complainant all submissions of judicial misconduct that are received while this order remains in effect, including the nine documents submitted by the complainant on October 6, 2025.

FOR THE COUNCIL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John Spencer Hallett", written in a cursive style.

Spencer Hallett  
Circuit Executive

\*Chief Circuit Judge Srinivasan and District Judge Nichols did not participate in the instant order.

Filed: December 10, 2025