

JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**In the Matter of
A Complaint of Judicial
Misconduct or Disability**

**Complaint No. DC-25-90045
Complaint No. DC-25-90046
Complaint No. DC-25-90049**

Before: Henderson, Circuit Judge¹

ORDER

Upon consideration of the complaints herein, filed against three judges of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia it is

ORDERED that the complaints be dismissed for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the complainant show cause as to why he should not be prohibited from filing further repetitive complaints of judicial misconduct against judges of this Circuit. *See* JUD. CONF. RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS, RULE 10(a). The complainant has filed thirteen complaints this year, all of which have been dismissed because the allegations were repetitive, unsupported, or related to the merits of an underlying decision. The complainant is directed to file an answer with the Circuit Executive within 30 days of the date of this Order. The answer will be transmitted to the Judicial Council for its consideration.



Karen LeCraft Henderson, Circuit Judge

Date: 10/10/25

¹ Acting pursuant to Rule 25(f) of the RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS.

No. DC-25-90045
No. DC-25-90046
No. DC-25-90049

MEMORANDUM

The complainant has filed complaints against three judges of the courts of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. For the following reasons, the complaints will be dismissed.

The misconduct complaints are related to two of the four cases the complainant has filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, all of which have all been dismissed. The complainant has filed appeals in two of those cases, and the dismissals have been affirmed. In each case, the complainant alleged that the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) abused its power and discriminated against him and that doctors (who were not parties to the case) had incorrectly filled out his medical forms. With the addition of these three complaints, the complainant has brought judicial misconduct complaints against each of the district judges and the appellate judges who have been assigned to his lawsuits.

In these three complaints, as in the previous complaints, he alleges that the subject judges have “engaged in multiple forceful legal assertions of a behavioral discriminatory nature” about the manner in which he filed his lawsuits. He further alleges that the judges abused their power, discriminated against him, and gaslighted him. In Complaint No. DC-25-90049, complainant further alleges that the judge engaged in “character assassination” and made “derogatory and discriminatory statements” against him. He also discusses his underlying claims against HHS and cites a United Nations document for relief of “gross violations of international human rights law” to which he has been subject.

To the extent that the complaints are motivated by dissatisfaction with rulings made by the subject judges, his allegations are “directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling” and thus are not proper grounds for a finding of judicial misconduct. JUD. CONF. RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS, RULE 11(c)(1)(B). The remainder of the allegations about the subject judges’ “discrimination,” “gaslighting,” and “abuse of power” contain no details about specific incidents of alleged misconduct other than his own unsubstantiated beliefs and are therefore “lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.” JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 11(c)(1)(D).

Accordingly, because the complaints are “directly related to the merits of [the judges’] decision” and “lack sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred,” the complaints should be dismissed. JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULES 11(c)(1)(B) & (D); see 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) & (iii).²

² Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(c) and JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS RULE 18(a), the complainant may file a petition for review by the Judicial Council for the District of Columbia Circuit. Any petition must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive for the D.C. Circuit within 42 days of the date of the dismissal order. *Id.*, RULE 18(b).