

JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

In the Matter of
A Complaint of Judicial
Misconduct or Disability

No. DC-25-90020
No. DC-25-90021
No. DC-25-90022
No. DC-25-90023
No. DC-25-90024
No. DC-25-90028
No. DC-25-90029
No. DC-25-90030
No. DC-25-90031

Before: Henderson, Circuit Judge¹


ORDER

Upon consideration of the complaints herein, filed against seven judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and two judges of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, it is

ORDERED that the complaints be dismissed for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum.

The Circuit Executive is directed to send a copy of this Order and accompanying Memorandum to the complainant, the subject judges, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 11(g)(2).

Date: 8/7/25


Karen LeCraft Henderson, Circuit Judge

¹ Acting pursuant to Rule 25(f) of the RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS.

MEMORANDUM

Complainant has filed complaints of judicial misconduct against seven judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit and two judges of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. For the following reasons, the complaints will be dismissed.

Complainant was the plaintiff-appellant in three cases previously before the district court and the circuit court. Complainant alleges that the district judges who dismissed his complaints, the circuit judges who affirmed those dismissals, and an additional judge of the Court of Appeals have discriminated against him and engaged in gaslighting and “character assassination.” Insofar as complainant’s allegations of misconduct are based on orders issued or decisions made by the subject judges in the disposition of his cases, allegations “directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling” are not a proper foundation for a finding of misconduct and must be dismissed. JUD. CONF. RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS, Rules 4(b)(1), 11(c)(1)(B). Otherwise, complainant’s allegations of misconduct are vague and unsupported, and they therefore “lack[] sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.” JUD. CONF. RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS, Rule 11(c)(1)(D). The misconduct complaints will therefore be dismissed.¹

¹ Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(c) and JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS, Rule 18(a), the complainant may file a petition for review by the Judicial Council for the District of Columbia Circuit. Any petition must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive for the D.C. Circuit within 42 days of the date of the dismissal order. *Id.* Rule 18(b).