

JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**In the Matter of
A Complaint of Judicial
Misconduct or Disability**

Complaint No. DC-25-90003

Before: Srinivasan, Chief Judge

ORDER

Upon consideration of the complaint herein, filed against a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, it is

ORDERED that the complaint be dismissed for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum.

The Circuit Executive is directed to send copies of this Order and accompanying Memorandum to the complainant, the subject judge, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS (2019), RULE 11(g)(2).


Sri Srinivasan, Chief Judge

Date: May 7, 2025

MEMORANDUM

The complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. For the following reasons, this misconduct complaint will be dismissed.

The complainant brought an action against a Justice of the United States Supreme Court and sought \$21 billion, which he claims he won as part of a “legal award settlement.” The subject judge dismissed the complaint without prejudice for failure to comply with the pleading standards of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). After the complainant filed an amended complaint, the judge dismissed that complaint because it failed to identify a legal basis for the complaint, failed to allege specific facts, and failed to connect relevant facts to any legal claim.

The complainant has now filed a judicial misconduct complaint against the subject judge. The complainant alleges that the judge “and the Clerk Office fail under the filing of the Rules mailing copys [sic] of summon to the [Justice] and summon to U.S. Attorney Office and summon to U.S. Attorney General Office.” The complainant further contends that “I [] did not want a Judge [] to make and order ‘dismissed’ this summon case in the favor of a Defendant ‘with out giving’ the Plaintiff and the Defendant to testify ‘the truth’ that support[s the complainant’s] true statement and [the Justice] can testify to his own true statement, that is true evident.”

To the extent the complainant is alleging that the judge failed to issue summonses

and improperly dismissed his complaint, these allegations amount to direct challenges to the merits of the judge’s dismissal order. “Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official decision or procedural ruling of a judge – without more – is merits-related.” JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 4(b)(1) Commentary ¶ 12. Such allegations do not constitute “[c]ognizable misconduct” under the Judicial-Conduct Proceedings Rules or the applicable statute. *Id.* To the extent the complainant intends to allege any judicial misconduct beyond his disagreement with the merits of the subject judge’s decision, the complainant has failed to provide any evidence of misconduct. Accordingly, because the complaint is “based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred,” the complaint will be dismissed. JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 11(c)(1)(D); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).¹

¹ Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(c) and JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 18(a), the complainant may file a petition for review by the Judicial Council for the District of Columbia Circuit. Any petition must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive for the D.C. Circuit within 42 days after the date of the dismissal order. JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 18(b).