

JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

In the Matter of  
A Complaint of Judicial  
Misconduct or Disability

Complaint No. DC-24-90003

Before: Srinivasan, Chief Judge

**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the complaint herein, filed against a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, it is

**ORDERED** that the complaint be dismissed for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum.

The Circuit Executive is directed to send copies of this Order and accompanying Memorandum to the complainant, the subject judge, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS (2019), RULE 11(g)(2).

  
Sri Srinivasan, Chief Judge

Date: June 5, 2024

**MEMORANDUM**

The complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. For the following reasons, the misconduct complaint will be dismissed.

The complaint's allegations are difficult to understand, but it makes reference to the subject judge's involvement in a criminal case that does not involve the complainant. While the bulk of the complaint does not appear to allege judicial misconduct, the complaint does assert that the judge has engaged in various kinds of wrongdoing. The complainant states that the judge "has engaged in falsifying business records in the first degree in violation of Penal Law 175.10, with the intent to commit a crime and aid and conceal the commission thereof." She further states that the judge has "engaged in this criminal activity by falsifying information and entries in business records of an enterprise that was kept and maintained for the Trump Organization and the restructuring of its operations. The intent of these false entries was to defraud and the intent to commit another crime." The complainant additionally contends that the judge:

unlawfully trespassed my property violating penal code to see and hear me in the privacy of my home unaware of there being a trial and this being the method of conduct of a trial. . . . [The subject judge] has used these cameras and microphones to conduct a trial on my religious freedom and beliefs in my home and country as a child and as an example to my mom and dad. That is not lawful for her to do, and violates integrity, upholding law, interests that are influenced on her, the church and political presidents that asked her to judge this case would compromise the fiduciary duties as well as the financial interests involved as this is a stock option and major source of financial gain for investments for the Church at large.

The complainant's assertions of wrongdoing lack any factual support and she has otherwise failed to provide any evidence of judicial misconduct. Because the complaint "is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred," it will be dismissed. JUD. CONF. RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS (2019), Rule 11(c)(1)(D); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(c) and JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 18(a), the complainant may file a petition for review by the Judicial Council of the District of Columbia Circuit. Any petition must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive for the D.C. Circuit within 42 days after the date of the dismissal order. JUDICIAL-CONDUCT PROCEEDINGS RULE 18(b).