

Judicial Council of the District of Columbia Circuit

In the Matter of

Judicial Council Complaint No. DC-21-90033

A CHARGE OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

BEFORE: Srinivasan*, Chief Circuit Judge; Katsas, Rao, Walker, and Jackson*,
Circuit Judges; Howell, Chief District Judge; Contreras, Cooper,
and Chutkan, District Judges.

ORDER

By order dated February 15, 2022, Judge Pillard dismissed a complaint filed against a Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. On March 18, 2022, the complainant filed with the Judicial Council a petition for review of the order of dismissal. Upon consideration thereof, it is

ORDERED, by the Judicial Council, that the disposition is affirmed and the petition for review is denied pursuant to Rule 19(b)(1) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

FOR THE COUNCIL:



Elizabeth H. Paret
Circuit Executive

*Chief Circuit Judge Srinivasan and Circuit Judge Jackson did not participate in the instant order.

Filed: April 18, 2022

Judicial Council of the District of Columbia Circuit

In the Matter of

Judicial Council Complaint No. DC-21-90033

A CHARGE OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

BEFORE: Srinivasan*, Chief Circuit Judge; Katsas, Rao, Walker, and Jackson*,
Circuit Judges; Howell, Chief District Judge; Contreras, Cooper,
and Chutkan, District Judges.

ORDER

Upon consideration of the order filed on February 15, 2022, pursuant to Rule 10(a) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, directing the complainant to show cause why complainant should not be precluded from filing any new judicial misconduct complaints or petitions for review of judicial misconduct complaints, and the lack of response thereto, it is

ORDERED that the order to show cause be discharged. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that no new complaints of judicial misconduct or petitions for review of judicial misconduct orders received from complainant shall be accepted for filing. See JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS (2019), Rule 10(a). Complainant has filed seven batches of judicial misconduct complaints – encompassing 23 total complaints – and petitions for review over the last seven years, all of which were found to be patently without merit. Rule 10(a) of the Rules of Judicial-Conduct Proceedings provides:

A complainant who has filed repetitive, harassing, or frivolous complaints, or has otherwise abused the complaint procedure, may be restricted from filing further complaints. After giving the complainant an opportunity to show cause in writing why his or her right to file further complaints should not be limited, a judicial council may prohibit, restrict, or impose conditions on the complainant's use of the complaint procedure. Upon written request of the complainant, the judicial council may revise or withdraw any prohibition, restriction, or condition previously imposed.

Accordingly, the complainant is hereby enjoined from filing further complaints of judicial misconduct and disability, subject to the provisions of Rule 10(a). This restriction shall remain in effect until further order of the Judicial Council. The Circuit Executive is directed to return to complainant all complaints for judicial misconduct that are received while this order remains in effect.

FOR THE COUNCIL:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Elizabeth H. Paret', is written over a light blue rectangular background.

Elizabeth H. Paret
Circuit Executive

*Chief Circuit Judge Srinivasan and Circuit Judge Jackson did not participate in the instant order.

Filed: April 18, 2022