## The Judicial Council

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

In the Matter of

Judicial Council Complaint No. DC-16-90054

A CHARGE OF JUDICIAL
MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

**Before:** HENDERSON, Circuit Judge\*

## <u>ORDER</u>

Upon consideration of the complaint herein, filed against a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, it is

**ORDERED** that the complaint be dismissed for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 11(c)(1)(D).

The Circuit Executive is directed to send copies of this Order and accompanying Memorandum to the complainant, the subject judge, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 11(g)(2).

Karen LeCraft Henderson, Circuit Judge District of Columbia Circuit

Kare Lellet Hend

Date: ///7//7\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>\*</sup> Acting pursuant to Rule 25(f) of the RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS.

## **MEMORANDUM**

The complainant has filed a complaint of judicial misconduct against a judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. For the following reasons, the misconduct complaint will be dismissed.

In 2008, the complainant filed a civil lawsuit in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The case was assigned to the subject judge, who dismissed the case without prejudice for lack of prosecution in 2010. The judge later denied or dismissed as most various motions filed by the complainant. Most recently, in April 2016, the complainant filed a motion for clarification. The subject judge denied the motion as most in November 2016.

In the judicial misconduct complaint, the complainant asserts "there is no reason for the Judge to delay for eight months a decision on a Motion for Clarification unless he is trying to run out the deadline for my filing a new complaint" against the defendant. The complainant, however, has failed to provide any evidence of this improper motive. As the complaint "lack[s] sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred," it must be dismissed. Jud. Conf. U.S., Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings 11(c)(1)(D); see 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(c) and JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS, Rule 18(a), the complainant may file a petition for review by the Judicial Council for the District of Columbia Circuit. Any petition must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive for the D.C. Circuit within 42 days of the date of the dismissal order. *Id.* Rule 18(b).