

# The Judicial Council

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

In the Matter of

Judicial Council Complaint No. DC-16-90007

A CHARGE OF JUDICIAL  
MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

**Before:** HENDERSON, *Acting Chief Judge of the Circuit*

## ORDER

Upon consideration of the complaint herein, filed against a judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, it is

**ORDERED** that the complaint be dismissed for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 11(c)(1)(D).

The Circuit Executive is directed to send copies of this Order and accompanying Memorandum to the complainant, the subject judge, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 11(g)(2).

*KLH*

Karen LeCraft Henderson, Acting Chief Judge  
District of Columbia Circuit

Date: 3/10/16

## MEMORANDUM

Complainant has filed a Judicial Complaint alleging that a judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts. For the following reason, complainant's allegation does not warrant action against the subject judge.

The entirety of complainant's allegation against the subject judge is as follows:

The D.C. Circuit Chief Judge and the Judicial Conference Committee have violated my Statutory, Civil, Constitutional, and Human Rights by allowing Federal Judges to commit crimes and by refusing to address my Petitions for Review in violation of 28 USC § 331.

Complainant fails to identify these judges or crimes, nor explain how the subject judge could have prevented their commission. Further, the subject judge has no role in the acceptance or rejection of petitions for review to the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. Accordingly, the complaint "lack[s] sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred" and so "must be dismissed." JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY 11(c)(1)(D); 28 U.S.C. 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(c) and JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL -DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS Rule 18(a), the complainant may file a petition for review by the Judicial Council for the District of Columbia Circuit. Any petition must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive for the D.C. Circuit within 42 days of the date of the dismissal order. *Id.* Rule 18(b).