

# The Judicial Council

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

In the Matter of

Judicial Council Complaint No. DC-12-90030

**A Charge of Judicial  
Misconduct or Disability**

Before: SENTELLE, Chief Judge of the Circuit

## **ORDER**

Upon consideration of the complaint herein, filed against a Judge of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia pursuant to the Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 and the Judicial Conference of the United States Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, it is

**ORDERED**, for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum, that the complaint be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 11(c)(1)(D).

The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order and accompanying Memorandum to complainant, the subject judge, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 11(g)(2).



David B. Sentelle, Chief Judge  
District of Columbia Circuit

Date: 8/28/12

## MEMORANDUM

Complainant alleges that a judge from the United States District Court has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts. Although there are various documents attached to the complaint, none of those documents include a brief statement of the facts detailing the specific facts upon which the claim of misconduct is based. Moreover, under the Brief Statement of the Facts section of the complaint form, complainant simply notes “nature of suit 210 land condemnation ... said premises, subject said land false arrest inside post office.” To the extent the complaint can even be construed to contain any allegations, the complaint does not provide any grounds for action against the subject judge. The complaint is devoid of specific allegations of wrongdoing and, therefore, must be dismissed as lacking any evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. See U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) and Jud. Conf. U.S., Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings 11(c)(1)(D).

Because complainant’s allegations lack sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred, the complaint must be dismissed.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(c) and JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL -DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 18(a), complainant may file a petition for review by the Judicial Council for the District of Columbia Circuit. Any petition must be filed in the Office of the Clerk of the Court of Appeals within 35 days of the date of the Clerk's letter transmitting the dismissal Order and this Memorandum. *Id.* R. 18(b).