

# The Judicial Council

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

In the Matter of

Judicial Council Complaint No. DC-12-90011

No. DC-12-90012

No. DC-12-90013

**A Charge of Judicial  
Misconduct or Disability**


Before: SENTELLE, Chief Judge of the Circuit

## **ORDER**

Upon consideration of the complaint herein, filed against three Judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit pursuant to the Judicial Councils Reform and Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980 and the Judicial Conference of the United States Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, it is

**ORDERED**, for the reasons stated in the attached Memorandum, that the complaint be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 11(c)(1)(D).

The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order and accompanying Memorandum to complainant, the subject judges, and the Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b); JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 11(g)(2).



David B. Sentelle, Chief Judge  
District of Columbia Circuit

Date: 4/20/12

## MEMORANDUM

Complainant alleges that three judges from the United States Court of Appeals have engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts. Specifically, complainant alleges that the subject judges have "falsif[ied] Government records, to hide or conceal [sic], this criminal matter." Complainant's allegation, however, does not provide any grounds for action against the subject judges.

The complainant filed a Privacy Act case in the District Court which was dismissed as procedurally barred by res judicata. Complainant appealed the case to the Court of Appeals and the three subject judges affirmed the district court's order finding that inmate records are exempted from the Privacy Act's accuracy and amendment provisions. Complainant now alleges that the three subject judges have falsified unspecified government records in order to cover up some criminal matter. The allegation, however, fails to detail what government records the subject judges falsified or what specific criminal matter they are alleged to have covered up. Thus, this allegation lacks any evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. See U.S.C. 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) and JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL-DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 11(c)(1)(D).

Because complainant's allegation lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred, the complaint must be dismissed.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(c) and JUD. CONF. U.S., RULES FOR JUDICIAL-CONDUCT AND JUDICIAL -DISABILITY PROCEEDINGS 18(a), complainant may file a petition for review by the Judicial Council for the District of Columbia Circuit. Any petition must be filed in the Office of the Clerk of the Court of Appeals within 35 days of the date of the Clerk's letter transmitting the dismissal Order and this Memorandum. *Id.* R. 18(b).